



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,645	06/14/2001	Vincent Palermo	3058.1000-023	6982
21005	7590	02/27/2006	EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			VO, DON NGUYEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/881,645	PALERMO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DON N. VO	2631	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) DON N. VO.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) MARK B. SOLOMON (Reg. No. 44,348).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 February 2006
**Time:** 10:30 AM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner and the attorney of record discussed the defects of the Declarations filed on 8/31/01 and 2/10/05 because they failed to specifically state the error and they must have been signed by all of the inventors since the case is a "broadening" reissue. The examiner referenced MPEP section 1414 and 37 C.F.R. 47 for filing the new Declaration and the attorney of record agreed to file the new Declaration. .